
Ph.D.Dong
(Collector)



TOPOLOGY

A review for final exam

To all the girls i love before

Munkres §17

Ex. 17.3. $A \times B$ is closed because its complement

$$(X \times Y) - (A \times B) = (X - A) \times Y \cup X \times (Y - B)$$

is open in the product topology.

Ex. 17.6.

(a). If $A \subset B$, then all limit points of A are also limit points of B, so [Thm 17.6] $\overline{A} \subset \overline{B}$.

(b). Since $A \cup B \subset \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ and $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ is closed [Thm 17.1], we have $\overline{A \cup B} \subset \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ by (a). Conversely, since $A \subset A \cup B \subset \overline{A \cup B}$, we have $\overline{A} \subset \overline{A \cup B}$ by (a) again. Similarly, $\overline{B} \subset \overline{A \cup B}$. Therefore $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B} \subset \overline{A \cup B}$. This shows that closure commutes with *finite* unions.

(c). Since $\bigcup A_\alpha \supset A_\alpha$ we have $\overline{\bigcup A_\alpha} \supset \overline{A_\alpha}$ by (a) for all α and therefore $\overline{\bigcup A_\alpha} \supset \bigcup \overline{A_\alpha}$. In general we do not have equality as the example $A_q = \{q\}$, $q \in \mathbf{Q}$, in $\mathbf{R}$ shows.

Ex. 17.8.

(a). By [Ex 17.6.(a)], $\overline{A \cap B} \subset \overline{A}$ and $\overline{A \cap B} \subset \overline{B}$, so $\overline{A \cap B} \subset \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$. It is *not* true in general that $\overline{A \cap B} = \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$ as the example $A = [0, 1)$, $B = [1, 2]$ in $\mathbf{R}$ shows. (However, if A is open and D is dense then $\overline{A \cap D} = \overline{A}$).

(b). Since $\bigcap A_\alpha \subset A_\alpha$ we have $\overline{\bigcap A_\alpha} \subset \overline{A_\alpha}$ for all α and therefore $\overline{\bigcap A_\alpha} \subset \bigcap \overline{A_\alpha}$. (In fact, (a) is a special case of (b)).

(c). Let $x \in \overline{A} - \overline{B}$. For any neighborhood of x, $U - \overline{B}$ is also a neighborhood of x so

$$U \cap (A - B) = (U - B) \cap A \supset (U - \overline{B}) \cap A \neq \emptyset$$

since x is in the closure of A [Thm 17.5]. So $x \in \overline{A - B}$. This shows that $\overline{A} - \overline{B} \subset \overline{A - B}$. Equality does not hold in general as $\overline{\mathbf{R}} - \overline{\{0\}} = \mathbf{R} - \{0\} \subsetneq \overline{\mathbf{R} - \{0\}} = \mathbf{R}$.

Just to recap we have

(1) $A \subset B \Rightarrow \overline{A} \subset \overline{B}$ $\quad (A \subset B,\ B \text{ closed} \Rightarrow \overline{A} \subset B)$
(2) $\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$
(3) $\overline{A \cap B} \subset \overline{A} \cap \overline{B}$ $\quad (\overline{A \cap D} = \overline{A} \text{ if } D \text{ is dense.})$
(4) $\overline{\bigcup A_\alpha} \supset \bigcup \overline{A_\alpha}$
(5) $\overline{\bigcap A_\alpha} \subset \bigcap \overline{A_\alpha}$
(6) $\overline{A} - \overline{B} \subset \overline{A - B}$

Dually,

(1) $A \subset B \Rightarrow \operatorname{Int} A \subset \operatorname{Int} B$ $\quad (A \subset B,\ A \text{ open} \Rightarrow A \subset \operatorname{Int} B)$
(2) $\operatorname{Int}(A \cap B) = \operatorname{Int} A \cap \operatorname{Int} B$
(3) $\operatorname{Int}(A \cup B) \supset \operatorname{Int} A \cup \operatorname{Int} B$

These formulas are really the same because

$$\overline{X - A} = X - \operatorname{Int} A, \quad \operatorname{Int}(X - A) = X - \overline{A}$$

Ex. 17.9. [Thm 19.5] Since $\overline{A} \times \overline{B}$ is closed [Ex 17.3] and contains $A \times B$, it also contains the closure of $A \times B$ [Ex 17.6.(a)], i.e. $\overline{A \times B} \subset \overline{A} \times \overline{B}$.

Conversely, let $(x, y) \in \overline{A} \times \overline{B}$. Any neighborhood of (x, y) contains a product neighborhood of the form $U \times V$ where $U \subset X$ is a neighborhood of x and $V \subset Y$ a neighborhood of y. The intersection of this product neighborhood with $A \times B$

$$(U \times V) \cap (A \times B) = (U \cap A) \times (V \cap Y)$$

is nonempty because $U \cap A \neq \emptyset$ as $x \in \overline{A}$ and $V \cap B \neq \emptyset$ as $y \in \overline{B}$. Since thus any neighborhood of (x, y) intersect $A \times B$ nontrivially, the point (x, y) lies in the closure of $A \times B$ [Thm 17.5]. This shows that $\overline{A} \times \overline{B} \subset \overline{A \times B}$.

Ex. 17.10 (Morten Poulsen).

Theorem 1. *Every order topology is Hausdorff.*

Proof. Let $(X, \leq)$ be a simply ordered set. Let X be equipped with the order topology induced by the simple order. Furthermore let a and b be two distinct points in X, may assume that $a < b$. Let

$$A = \{\, x \in X \mid a < x < b \,\},$$

i.e. the set of elements between a and b.

If A is empty then $a \in (-\infty, b)$, $b \in (a, \infty)$ and $(-\infty, b) \cap (a, \infty) = \emptyset$, hence X is Hausdorff.

If A is nonempty then $a \in (-\infty, x)$, $b \in (x, \infty)$ and $(-\infty, x) \cap (x, \infty) = \emptyset$ for any element x in A, hence X is Hausdorff. □

Ex. 17.11 (Morten Poulsen).

Theorem 2. *The product of two Hausdorff spaces is Hausdorff.*

Proof. Let X and Y be Hausdorff spaces and let $a_1 \times b_1$ and $a_2 \times b_2$ be two distinct points in $X \times Y$. Note that either $a_1 \neq a_2$ or $b_1 \neq b_2$.

If $a_1 \neq a_2$ then, since X is Hausdorff, there exists open sets U_1 and U_2 in X such that $a_1 \in U_1$, $a_2 \in U_2$ and $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset$. It follows that $U_1 \times Y$ and $U_2 \times Y$ are open in $X \times Y$. Furthermore $a_1 \times b_1 \in U_1 \times Y$, $a_2 \times b_2 \in U_2 \times Y$ and $(U_1 \times Y) \cap (U_2 \times Y) = (U_1 \cap U_2) \times Y = \emptyset \times Y = \emptyset$, hence $X \times Y$ is Hausdorff.

The case $b_1 \neq b_2$ is similar. □

Ex. 17.12 (Morten Poulsen).

Theorem 3. *Every subspace of a Hausdorff space is Hausdorff.*

Proof. Let A be a subspace of a Haussdorff space X and let a and b be two distinct points in A.

Since X is Hausdorff there exists two open sets U and V in X such that $a \in U$, $b \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Hence $a \in A \cap U$, $b \in A \cap V$ and $(A \cap U) \cap (A \cap V) = (U \cap V) \cap A = \emptyset \cap A = \emptyset$. Since $A \cap U$ and $A \cap V$ are open in A, it follows that A is Hausdorff. □

Ex. 17.13 (Morten Poulsen).

Theorem 4. *A topological space X is Hausdorff if only if the diagonal*

$$\Delta = \{\, x \times x \in X \times X \mid x \in X \,\}$$

is closed in $X \times X$.

Proof. Suppose X is Hausdorff. The diagonal Δ is closed if and only if the complement $\Delta^c = X \times X - \Delta$ is open. Let $a \times b \in \Delta^c$, i.e. a and b are distinct points in X. Since X is Hausdorff there exists open sets U and V in X such that $a \in U$, $b \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Hence $a \times b \in U \times V$ and $U \times V$ open in $X \times X$. Furthermore $(U \times V) \cap \Delta = \emptyset$, since U and V are disjoint. So for every point $a \times b \in \Delta^c$ there exists an open set $U_{a \times b}$ such that $a \times b \in U_{a \times b} \subset \Delta^c$. By Ex. 13.1 it follows that Δ^c open, i.e. Δ closed.

Now suppose Δ is closed. If a and b are two distinct points in X then $a \times b \in \Delta^c$. Since Δ^c is open there exists a basis element $U \times V$, U and V open in X, for the product topology, such that $a \times b \in U \times V \subset \Delta^c$. Since $U \times V \subset \Delta^c$ it follows that $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Hence U and V are open sets such that $a \in U$, $b \in V$ and $U \cap V = \emptyset$, i.e. X is Hausdorff. □

Ex. 17.14 (Morten Poulsen). The sequence converges to every real number, by the following result.

Theorem 5. *Let X be a set equipped with the finite complement topology. If $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_+}$ is an infinite sequence of distinct points in X then (x_n) converges to every x in X.*

Proof. Let U be a neighborhood of $x \in X$, i.e $X - U$ is finite. It follows that $x_n \in U$, for all, but finitely many, $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, i.e. (x_n) converges to x. □

Ex. 17.21 (Morten Poulsen). Let X be a topological space. Consider the three operations on $\mathcal{P}(X)$, namely closure $A \mapsto \overline{A}$, complement $A \mapsto X - A$ and interior $A \mapsto A^\circ$. Write A^- instead of $\overline{A}$ and A^c instead of $X - A$, e.g. $X - \overline{X - A} = A^{c-c}$.

Lemma 6. *If $A \subset X$ then $A^\circ = A^{c-c}$.*

Proof. $A^\circ \supset A^{c-c}$: Since $A^c \subset A^{c-}$, $A^{c-c} \subset A$ and A^{c-c} is open.

$A^\circ \subset A^{c-c}$: Since $A^\circ \subset A$, $A^c \subset A^{\circ c}$ and $A^{\circ c}$ is closed, it follows that $A^{c-} \subset A^{\circ c}$, hence $A^\circ \subset A^{c-c}$. □

This lemma shows that the interior operation can be expressed in terms of the closure and complement operations.

(a). The following theorem, also known as Kuratowski's Closure-Complement Problem, was first proved by Kuratowski in 1922.

Theorem 7. *Let X be a topological space and $A \subset X$. Then at most 14 distinct sets can be derived from A by repeated application of closure and complementation.*

Proof. Let $A_1 = A$ and set $B_1 = A_1^c$. Define $A_{2n} = A_{2n-1}^-$ and $A_{2n+1} = A_{2n}^c$ for $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$. Define $B_{2n} = B_{2n-1}^-$ and $B_{2n+1} = B_{2n}^c$ for $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$.

Note that every set obtainable from A by repeatedly applying the closure and complement operations is clearly one of the sets A_n or B_n.

Now $A_7 = A_4^{c-c} = A_4^\circ = A_3^{-\circ}$. Since $A_3 = A_1^{-c}$ it follows that A_3 is open, hence $A_3 \subset A_7 \subset A_3^-$, so $A_7^- = A_3^-$, i.e. $A_8 = A_4$, hence $A_{n+4} = A_n$ for $n \geq 4$. Similarly $B_{n+4} = B_n$ for $n \geq 4$.

Thus every A_n or B_n is equal to one of the 14 sets $A_1, \ldots, A_7, B_1, \ldots, B_7$, this proves the result. □

(b). An example:

$$A = ((-\infty, -1) - \{-2\}) \cup ([-1, 1] \cap \mathbf{Q}) \cup \{2\}.$$

The 14 different sets:

$$\begin{aligned}
A_1 &= ((-\infty, -1) - \{-2\}) \cup ([-1, 1] \cap \mathbf{Q}) \cup \{2\} \\
A_2 &= (-\infty, 1] \cup \{2\} \\
A_3 &= (1, \infty) - \{2\} \\
A_4 &= [1, \infty) \\
A_5 &= (-\infty, 1) \\
A_6 &= (-\infty, 1] \\
A_7 &= (1, \infty) \\
B_1 &= \{-2\} \cup ([-1, 1] - \mathbf{Q}) \cup ((1, \infty) - \{2\}) \\
B_2 &= \{-2\} \cup [-1, \infty) \\
B_3 &= (-\infty, -1) - \{-2\} \\
B_4 &= (-\infty, -1] \\
B_5 &= (-1, \infty) \\
B_6 &= [-1, \infty) \\
B_7 &= (-\infty, -1).
\end{aligned}$$

Another example:

$$A = \{\, 1/n \,|\, n \in \mathbf{Z}_+ \,\} \cup (2, 3) \cup (3, 4) \cup \{9/2\} \cup [5, 6] \cup \{\, x \,|\, x \in \mathbf{Q}, 7 \leq x < 8 \,\}.$$

References

Munkres §18

Ex. 18.1 (Morten Poulsen). Recall the ε-δ-definition of continuity: A function $f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ is said to be continuous if

$$\forall\, a \in \mathbf{R} \forall\, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}_+ \exists\, \delta \in \mathbf{R}_+ \forall x \in \mathbf{R} : |x - a| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(a)| < \varepsilon.$$

Let $\mathcal{T}$ be the standard topology on $\mathbf{R}$ generated by the open intervals.

Theorem 1. *For functions $f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ the following are equivalent:*

(i) $\forall\, a \in \mathbf{R} \forall\, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}_+ \exists\, \delta \in \mathbf{R}_+ \forall\, x \in \mathbf{R} : |x - a| < \delta \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(a)| < \varepsilon.$
(ii) $\forall\, a \in \mathbf{R} \forall\, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}_+ \exists\, \delta \in \mathbf{R}_+ : f((a - \delta, a + \delta)) \subset (f(a) - \varepsilon, f(a) + \varepsilon).$
(iii) $\forall\, U \in \mathcal{T} : f^{-1}(U) \in \mathcal{T}.$

Proof. "$(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)$": Clear.

"$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$": Let $U \in \mathcal{T}$. If $a \in f^{-1}(U)$ then $f(a) \in U$. Since U is open there exists $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}_+$ such that $(f(a) - \varepsilon, f(a) + \varepsilon) \subset U$. By assumption there exists $\delta_a \in \mathbf{R}_+$ such that $f((a - \delta_a, a + \delta_a)) \subset (f(a) - \varepsilon, f(a) + \varepsilon)$, hence $(a - \delta_a, a + \delta_a) \subset f^{-1}(U)$. It follows that $f^{-1}(U) = \bigcup_{a \in f^{-1}(U)} (a - \delta_a, a + \delta_a)$, i.e. open.

"$(iii) \Rightarrow (ii)$": Let $a \in \mathbf{R}$. Given $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}_+$ then $f^{-1}((f(a) - \varepsilon, f(a) + \varepsilon))$ is open and contains a. Hence there exists $\delta \in \mathbf{R}_+$ such that $(a - \delta, a + \delta) \subset f^{-1}((f(a) - \varepsilon, f(a) + \varepsilon))$. It follows that $f((a - \delta, a + \delta)) \subset (f(a) - \varepsilon, f(a) + \varepsilon)$. □

Ex. 18.2. Let $f \colon \mathbf{R} \to \{0\}$ be the constant map. Then 2004 is a limit point of $\mathbf{R}$ but $f(2004) = 0$ is not a limit point of $f(\mathbf{R}) = \{0\}$. (The question is if $f(A') \subset f(A)'$ in general. The answer is no: In the above example $\mathbf{R}' = \mathbf{R}$ so that $f(\mathbf{R}') = f(\mathbf{R}) = \{0\}$ but $f(\mathbf{R})' = \emptyset$.)

Ex. 18.6 (Morten Poulsen).

Claim 2. *The map $f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$, defined by*

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x, & x \in \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{Q} \\ 0, & x \in \mathbf{Q}, \end{cases}$$

is continuous only at 0.

Proof. Since $|f(x)| \leq |x|$ for all x it follows that f is continuous at 0.

Let $x_0 \in \mathbf{R} - \{0\}$. Since $\lim_{x \to x_0, x \in \mathbf{Q}} f(x) = 0$ and $\lim_{x \to x_0, x \in \mathbf{R} - \mathbf{Q}} f(x) = x$ it follows that f is not continuous at x_0. □

Ex. 18.7 (Morten Poulsen).

(a). The following lemma describes the continuous maps $\mathbf{R}_l \to \mathbf{R}$.

Lemma 3. *Let $f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$. The following are equivalent:*

(i) *$f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ is right continuous.*
(ii) $\forall\, x \in \mathbf{R} \forall\, \varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}_+ \exists\, \delta \in \mathbf{R}_+ : f([x, x + \delta)) \subset (f(x) - \varepsilon, f(x) + \varepsilon).$
(iii) *For each $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and each interval (a, b) containing $f(x)$ there exists an interval $[c, d)$ containing x such that $f([c, d)) \subset (a, b)$.*
(iv) *$f : \mathbf{R}_l \to \mathbf{R}$ is continuous.*

Proof. "$(i) \Leftrightarrow (ii)$": By definition.

"$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii)$": Let $x \in \mathbf{R}$. Assume $f(x) \in (a, b)$. Set $\varepsilon = \min\{f(x) - a, b - f(x)\} \in \mathbf{R}_+$. Then $(f(x) - \varepsilon, f(x) + \varepsilon) \subset (a, b)$. By (ii) there exists $\delta \in \mathbf{R}_+$ such that $f([x, x + \delta)) \subset (f(x) - \varepsilon, f(x) + \varepsilon)$, hence $[c, d) = [x, x + \delta)$ does the trick.

"$(iii) \Rightarrow (ii)$": Let $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}_+$. By (iii) there exists $[c, d)$ such that $x \in [c, d)$ and $f([c, d)) \subset (f(x) - \varepsilon, f(x) + \varepsilon)$. Set $\delta = d - x \in \mathbf{R}_+$. Then $[x, x + \delta) = [x, d) \subset [c, d)$, hence $f([x, x + \delta)) \subset (x - \varepsilon, x + \varepsilon)$.

"$(iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv)$": Clear. □

(b). The continuous maps from $\mathbf{R}$ to $\mathbf{R}_l$ are the constant maps, c.f. Ex. 25.1.

A map $f : \mathbf{R}_l \to \mathbf{R}_l$ is continuous if and only if for any x and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $f([x, x+\delta)) \subset [f(x), f(x)+\varepsilon)$. Hence $f : \mathbf{R}_l \to \mathbf{R}_l$ is continuous if and only if $f : \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{R}$ is right continuous and increasing.

Ex. 18.8. Let Y be an ordered set. Give $Y \times Y$ the product topology. Consider the set

$$\Delta^- = \{y_1 \times y_2 \mid y_1 > y_2\}$$

of points below the diagonal. Let $(y_1, y_2) \in \Delta^-$ so that $y_1 > y_2$. If y_2 is the immediate predecessor of y_1 then

$$y_1 \times y_2 \in [y_1, \infty) \times (-\infty, y_2) = (y_2, \infty) \times (-\infty, y_1) \subset \Delta^-$$

and if $y_1 > y > y_2$ for some $y \in Y$ then

$$y_1 \times y_2 \in (y, \infty) \times (-\infty, y) \subset \Delta^-$$

This shows that Δ^- is open.

(a). Since the map $(f, g)\colon X \xrightarrow{\Delta} X \times X \xrightarrow{f \times g} Y \times Y$ is continuous, the preimage

$$(f, g)^{-1}(\Delta^-) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) > g(x)\}$$

is open and the complement $\{x \in X \mid f(x) \leq g(x)\}$ is closed.

(b). The map

$$\min\{f, g\}(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & f(x) \leq g(x) \\ g(x) & f(x) \geq g(x) \end{cases}$$

is continuous according to [1, Thm 18.3].

Ex. 18.10. Let $(f_j\colon X_j \to Y_j)_{j \in J}$ be an indexed family of continuous maps. Define $\prod f_j : \prod X_j \to \prod Y_j$ to be the map that takes $(x_j) \in \prod X_j$ to $(f_j(x_j)) \in \prod Y_j$. The commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \prod X_j & \xrightarrow{\prod f_j} & \prod Y_j \\ {\scriptstyle \pi_k}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_k} \\ X_k & \xrightarrow[f_k]{} & Y_k \end{array}$$

shows that $\pi_k \circ \prod f_j = f_k \circ \pi_k$ is continuous for all $k \in J$. Thus $\prod f_j\colon \prod X_j \to \prod Y_j$ is continuous [1, Thm 18.4, Thm 19.6].

Ex. 18.13. Let $f, g\colon X \to Y$ be two continuous maps between topological spaces where the codomain, Y, is Hausdorff. The equalizer

$$\mathrm{Eq}(f, g) = \{x \in X \mid f(x) = g(x)\} = (f, g)^{-1}(\Delta)$$

is then a closed subset of X for it is the preimage under the continuous map $(f, g)\colon X \to Y \times Y$ of the diagonal $\Delta = \{(y, y) \in Y \times Y \mid y \in Y\}$ which is closed since Y is Hausdorff [1, Ex 17.13]. (This is [1, Ex 31.5].)

It follows that if f and g agree on the subset $A \subset X$ then they also agree on $\overline{A}$ for

$$A \subset \mathrm{Eq}(f, g) \Longrightarrow \overline{A} \subset \mathrm{Eq}(f, g)$$

In particular, if f and g agree on a dense subset of X, they are equal: Any continuous map into a Hausdorff space is determined by its values on a dense subset.

References

Munkres §22

Ex. 22.2.

(a). The map $p\colon X \to Y$ is continuous. Let U be a subspace of Y such that $p^{-1}(U) \subset X$ is open. Then

$$f^{-1}(p^{-1}(U)) = (pf)^{-1}(U) = \mathrm{id}_Y^{-1}(U) = U$$

is open because f is continuous. Thus $p\colon X \to Y$ is a quotient map.

(b). The map $r\colon X \to A$ is a quotient map by (a) because it has the inclusion map $A \hookrightarrow X$ as right inverse.

Ex. 22.3. Let $g\colon \mathbf{R} \to A$ be the continuous map $f(x) = x \times 0$. Then $q \circ g$ is a quotient map, even a homeomorphism. If the compostion of two maps is quotient, then the last map is quotient; see [1]. Thus q is quotient.

The map q is not closed for $\{x \times \frac{1}{x} \mid x > 0\}$ is closed but $q(A \cap \{x \times \frac{1}{x} \mid x > 0\} = (0, \infty)$ is not closed.

The map q is not open for $\mathbf{R} \times (-1, \infty)$ is open but $q(A \cap (\mathbf{R} \times (-1, \infty))) = [0, \infty)$ is not open.

Ex. 22.5. Let $U \subset A$ be open in A. Since A is open, U is open in X. Since p is open, $p(U) = q(U) \subset p(A)$ is open in Y and also in $p(A)$ because $p(A)$ is open [Lma 16.2].

SupplEx. 22.3. Let G be a topological group and H a subgroup. Let $\varphi_G\colon G \times G \to G$ be the map $\varphi_G(x, y) = xy^{-1}$ and $\varphi_H\colon H \times H \to H$ the corresponding map for the subgroup H. Since these maps are related by the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G & \xrightarrow{\varphi_G} & G \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ H \times H & \xrightarrow{\varphi_H} & H \end{array}$$

and φ_G is continuous, also φ_H is continuous [Thm 18.2]. Moreover, any subspace of a T_1-space is a T_1-space, so H is a T_1-space. Thus H is a topological group by Ex 22.1.

Now, by using [Thm 18.1.(2), Thm 19.5], we get

$$\varphi_G(\overline{H} \times \overline{H}) = \varphi_G(\overline{H \times H}) \subset \overline{\varphi_G(H \times H)} = \overline{H}$$

which shows that $\overline{H}$ is a subgroup of G. But then $\overline{H}$ is a topological group as we have just shown.

SupplEx. 22.5. Let G be a topological group and H a subgroup of G.

(a). Left multiplication with α induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G & \xrightarrow{f_\alpha} & G \\ {\scriptstyle p}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ G/H & \xrightarrow[f_\alpha/H]{} & G/H \end{array}$$

which shows [Thm 22.2] that f_α/H is ¸

(b). The saturation $gH = f_g(H)$ of the point $g \in G$ is closed because H is closed and left multiplication f_g is a homeomorphism [SupplEx 22.4].

(c). The saturation $UH = \bigcup_{h \in H} Uh = \bigcup g_h(U)$ is open because U is open and right multiplication g_h is a homeomorphism [SupplEx 22.4].

(d). The space G/H is T_1 by point (b). The map $G \times G \xrightarrow{\varphi} G\colon (x,y) \mapsto xy^{-1}$ is continuous [SupplEx 22.1] and it induces a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G \times G & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & G \\ {\scriptstyle p\times p}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle p} \\ G/H \times G/H & \xrightarrow[\varphi/H]{} & G/H \end{array}$$

where $p \times p$ is a quotient map since it is open as we have just shown. (It is not true that the product of two quotient maps is a quotient map [Example 7, p. 143] but is is true that a product of two open maps is an open map.) This shows [Thm 22.2] that φ/H is continuous and hence [SupplEx 22.1] G/H is a topological group.

SupplEx. 22.7. Let G be a topological group.

(a). Any neighborhood of U of e contains a symmetric neighborhood $V \subset U$ such that $VV \subset U$. By continuity of $(x,y) \to xy$, there is a neighborhood W_1 of e such that $W_1W_1 \subset U$. By continuity of $(x,y) \to xy^{-1}$, there is a neighborhood W_2 of e such that $W_2W_2^{-1} \subset W_1$. (Any neighborhood of e contains a symmetric neighborhood of e.) Now $V = W_2W_2^{-1}$ is a symmetric neighborhood of e and $VV \subset W_1W_1 \subset U$.

(b). G is Hausdorff.
Let $x \neq y$. Since the set $\{xy^{-1}\}$ is closed in G, there is a neighborhood U of e not containing xy^{-1}. Find a symmetric neighborhood V of e such that $VV \subset U$. Then $Vx \cap Vy = \emptyset$. (If not, then $gx = hy$ for some $g, h \in V$ and $xy^{-1} = g^{-1}h \in V^{-1}V = VV \subset U$ contradicts $xy^{-1} \notin U$.)

(c). G is regular.
Let $A \subset G$ be a closed subspace and x a point outside A. Since e is outside the closed set xA^{-1}, a whole neighborhood U of e is disjoint from xA^{-1}. Find a symmetric neighborhood V of e such that $VV \subset U$. Then $Vx \cap VA = \emptyset$. (If not, then $gx = ha$ for some $g, h \in V$ and $xA^{-1} \ni xa^{-1} = g^{-1}h \in V^{-1}V = VV \subset U$ contradicts $xA^{-1} \cap U = \emptyset$)

(d). G/H is regular for any closed subgroup $H \subset G$.
The point xH is closed in G/H because the subspace xH is closed in G. Let $x \in G$ be a point ouside a saturated closed subset $A \subset G$. Find a neighborhood V of e such that Vx and VA are disjoint. Then also $VxH \cap VA = \emptyset$ since $A = AH$ is saturated. Since $p\colon G \to G/H$ is open [SupplEx 22.5.(c)], $p(Vx)$ is an open neighborhood of $p(x)$ disjoint from the open neighborhood $p(VA)$ of $p(A)$. This shows that G/H is regular.

References

Munkres §26

Ex. 26.1 (Morten Poulsen).

(a). Let $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ be two topologies on the set X. Suppose $\mathcal{T}' \supset \mathcal{T}$.

If $(X, \mathcal{T}')$ is compact then $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is compact: Clear, since every open covering if $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is an open covering in $(X, \mathcal{T}')$.

If $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is compact then $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is in general not compact: Consider $[0, 1]$ in the standard topology and the discrete topology.

(b).

Lemma 1. *If $(X, \mathcal{T})$ and $(X, \mathcal{T}')$ are compact Hausdorff spaces then either $\mathcal{T}$ and $\mathcal{T}'$ are equal or not comparable.*

Proof. If $(X, \mathcal{T})$ compact and $\mathcal{T}' \supset \mathcal{T}$ then the identity map $(X, \mathcal{T}') \to (X, \mathcal{T})$ is a bijective continuous map, hence a homeomorphism, by theorem 26.6. This proves the result. □

Finally note that the set of topologies on the set X is partially ordered, c.f. ex. 11.2, under inclusion. From the lemma we conclude that the compact Hausdorff topologies on X are minimal elements in the set of all Hausdorff topologies on X.

Ex. 26.2 (Morten Poulsen).

(a). The result follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 2. *If the set X is equipped with the finite complement topology then every subspace of X is compact.*

Proof. Suppose $A \subset X$ and let $\mathcal{A}$ be an open covering of A. Then any set $A_0 \in \mathcal{A}$ will covering all but a finite number of points. Now choose a finite number of sets from $\mathcal{A}$ covering $A - A_0$. These sets and A_0 is a finite subcovering, hence A compact. □

(b). Lets prove a more general result: Let X be an uncountable set. Let

$$\mathcal{T}_c = \{\, A \subset X \mid X - A \text{ countable or equal } X \,\}.$$

It is straightforward to check that $\mathcal{T}_c$ is a topology on X. This topology is called the countable complement topology.

Lemma 3. *The compact subspaces of X are exactly the finite subspaces.*

Proof. Suppose A is infinite. Let $B = \{b_1, b_2, \ldots\}$ be a countable subset of A. Set

$$A_n = (X - B) \cup \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}.$$

Note that $\{A_n\}$ is an open covering of A with no finite subcovering. □

The lemma shows that $[0, 1] \subset \mathbf{R}$ in the countable complement topology is not compact.

Finally note that $(X, \mathcal{T}_c)$ is not Hausdorff, since no two nonempty open subsets A and B of X are disjoint: If $A \cap B = \emptyset$ then $X - (A \cap B) = (X - A) \cup (X - B)$, hence X countable, contradicting that X uncountable.

Ex. 26.3 (Morten Poulsen).

Theorem 4. *A finite union of compact subspaces of X is compact.*

Proof. Let $A_1, \ldots, A_n$ be compact subspaces of X. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an open covering of $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$. Since $A_j \subset \bigcup_{i_1}^n A_i$ is compact, $1 \leq j \leq n$, there is a finite subcovering $\mathcal{A}_j$ of $\mathcal{A}$ covering A_j. Thus $\bigcup_{j=1}^n \mathcal{A}_j$ is a finite subcovering of $\mathcal{A}$, hence $\bigcup_{i=1}^n A_i$ is compact. □

Ex. 26.5. For each $a \in A$, choose [Lemma 26.4] disjoint open sets $U_a \in a$ and $V_a \supset B$. Since A is compact, A is contained in a finite union $U = U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_n$ of the U_as. Let $V = V_1 \cap \cdots V_n$ be the intersection of the corresponding V_as. Then U is an open set containing A, V is an open set containing B, and U and V are disjoint as $U \cap V = \bigcup U_i \cap V \subset \bigcup U_i \cap V_i = \emptyset$.

Ex. 26.6. Since any closed subset A of the compact space X is compact [Thm 26.2], the image $f(A)$ is a compact [Thm 26.5], hence closed [Thm 26.3], subspace of the Hausdorff space Y.

Ex. 26.7. This is just reformulation of The tube lemma [Lemma 26.8]: Let C be a closed subset of $X \times Y$ and $x \in X$ a point such that the slice $\{x\} \times Y$ is disjoint from C. Then, since Y is compact, there is a neighborhood W of x such that the whole tube $W \times Y$ is disjoint from C.

In other words, if $x \notin \pi_1(C)$ then there is a neighborhood W of x which is disjoint from $\pi_1(C)$. Thus The tube lemma says that $\pi_1 \colon X \times Y \to X$ is closed when Y is compact (so that π_1 is an example of a perfect map [Ex 26.12]). On the other hand, projection maps are always open [Ex 16.4].

Ex. 26.8. Let $G \subset X \times Y$ be the graph of a function $f \colon X \to Y$ where Y is compact Hausdorff. Then

$$G \text{ is closed in } X \times Y \Leftrightarrow f \text{ is continuous}$$

$\Leftarrow$: (For this it suffices that Y be Hausdorff.) Let $(x, y) \in X \times Y$ be a point that is not in the graph of f. Then $y \neq f(x)$ so by the Hausdorff axiom there will be disjoint neighborhoods $V \ni y$ and $W \ni f(x)$. By continuity of f, $f(U) \subset W \subset Y - V$. This means that $(U \times V) \cap G = \emptyset$.
$\Rightarrow$: Let V be a neighborhood of $f(x)$ for some $x \in X$. Then $G \cap (X \times (Y - V))$ is closed in $X \times Y$ so [Ex 26.7] the projection $\pi_1(G \cap (X \times (Y - V)))$ is closed in X and does not contain x. Let U be a neighborhood of X such that $U \times Y$ does not intersect $G \cap (X \times (Y - V))$. Then $f(U)$ does not intersect $Y - V$, or $f(U) \subset V$. This shows that f is continuous at the arbitrary point $x \in X$.

Ex. 26.12. (Any perfect map is *proper*; see the January 2003 exam for more on proper maps.) Let $p \colon X \to Y$ be closed continuous surjective map such that $p^{-1}(y)$ is compact for each $y \in Y$. Then $p^{-1}(C)$ is compact for any compact subspace $C \subset Y$.

For this exercise we shall use the following lemma.

Lemma 5. *Let $p \colon X \to Y$ be a closed map.*

1. *If $p^{-1}(y) \subset U$ where U is an open subspace of X, then $p^{-1}(W) \subset U$ for some neighborhood $W \subset Y$ of y.*
2. *If $p^{-1}(B) \subset U$ for some subspace B of Y and some open subspace U of X, then $p^{-1}(W) \subset U$ for some neighborhood $W \subset Y$ of B.*

Proof. Note that

$$p^{-1}(W) \subset U \Leftrightarrow \big[p(x) \in W \Rightarrow x \in U\big] \Leftrightarrow \big[x \notin U \Rightarrow p(x) \notin W\big] \Leftrightarrow p(X - U) \subset Y - W$$
$$\Leftrightarrow p(X - U) \cap W = \emptyset$$

(1) The point y does not belong to the closed set $p(X - U)$. Therefore a whole neighborhood $W \subset Y$ of y is disjoint from $p(X - U)$, i.e. $p^{-1}(W) \subset U$.
(2) Each point $y \in B$ has a neighborhood W_y such that $p^{-1}(W_y) \subset U$. The union $W = \bigcup W_y$ is then a neighborhood of B with $p^{-1}(W) \subset U$. □

We shall not need point (2) here.

Let $C \subset Y$ be compact. Consider a collection $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J}$ of open sets covering of $p^{-1}(C)$. For each $y \in C$, the compact space $p^{-1}(y)$ is contained in a the union of a finite subcollection $\{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J(y)}$. There is neighborhood W_y of y such that $p^{-1}(W_y)$ is contained in this finite union. By compactness of C, finitely many $W_{y_1}, \ldots, W_{y_k}$ cover Y. Then the finite collection $\bigcup_{i=1}^{k} \{U_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in J(y_i)}$ cover $p^{-1}(C)$. This shows that $p^{-1}(C)$ is compact.

Ex. 26.13. Let G be a topological group and A and B subspaces of G.

(a). A closed and B compact $\Rightarrow AB$ closed
Assume $c \notin AB = \bigcup_{b\in B} Ab$. The regularity axiom for G [Suppl Ex 22.7] implies that there are disjoint open sets $W_b \ni c$ and $U_b \supset Ab$ separating c and Ab for each point $b \in B$. Then $A^{-1}U_b$ is an open neighborhood of b. Since B is compact, it can be covered by finitely many of these open sets $A^{-1}U_b$, say

$$B \subset A^{-1}U_1 \cup \cdots \cup A^{-1}U_k = A^{-1}U$$

where $U = U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_k$. The corresponding open set $W = W_1 \cap \cdots \cap W_k$ is an open neighborhood of c that is disjoint from AB since $W \cap AB \subset \bigcup W \cap U_i \subset \bigcup W_i \cap U_i = \emptyset$.

(b). H compact subgroup of $G \Rightarrow p\colon G \to G/H$ is a closed map
The saturation AH of any closed subset $A \subset G$ is closed by (a).

(c). H compact subgroup of G and G/H compact $\Rightarrow G$ compact
The quotient map $p\colon G \to G/H$ is a perfect map because it is a closed map by (b) and has compact fibres $p^{-1}(gH) = gH$. Now apply [Ex 26.12].

References

Munkres §27

Ex. 27.1 (Morten Poulsen). Let $A \subset X$ be bounded from above by $b \in X$. For any $a \in A$ is $[a, b]$ compact.

The set $C = \overline{A} \cap [a, b]$ is closed in $[a, b]$, hence compact, c.f. theorem 26.2. The inclusion map $j : C \to X$ is continuous, c.f. theorem 18.2(b). By the extreme value theorem C has a largest element $c \in C$. Clearly c is an upper bound for A.

If $c \in A$ then clearly c is the least upper bound. Suppose $c \notin A$. If $d < c$ then (d, ∞) is an open set containing c, i.e. $A \cap (d, \infty) \neq \emptyset$, since c is a limit point for A, since $c \in C \subset \overline{A}$. Thus d is not an upper bound for A, hence c is the least upper bound.

Ex. 27.3.

(a). K is an infinite, discrete, closed subspace of $\mathbf{R}_K$, so K can not be contained in any compact subspace of $\mathbf{R}_K$ [Thm 28.1].

(b). The subspaces $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(0, +\infty)$ inherit their standard topologies, so they are connected. Then also their closures, $(-\infty, 0]$ and $[0, +\infty)$ and their union, $\mathbf{R}_K$, are also connected [Thm 23.4, Thm 23.3].

(c). Since the topology $\mathbf{R}_K$ is finer than the standard topology [Lemma 13.4] on $\mathbf{R}$ we have

$$U \text{ is connected in } \mathbf{R}_K \overset{\text{Ex 23.1}}{\Rightarrow} U \text{ is connected in } \mathbf{R} \overset{\text{Thm 24.1}}{\Leftrightarrow} U \text{ is convex}$$

for any subspace U of $\mathbf{R}_K$.

Let now $f\colon [0, 1] \to \mathbf{R}_K$ be a path from $f(0) = 0$ to $f(1) = 1$. The image $f([0, 1])$ is convex since it is connected as a subspace of $\mathbf{R}_K$ [Thm 23.5], and connected subspaces of $\mathbf{R}_K$ are convex as we just noted. Therefore the interval $[0, 1]$ and the its subset K is contained in $f([0, 1])$. The image $f([0, 1])$ is also compact in the subspace topology from $\mathbf{R}_K$ [Thm 26.5]. Thus the image is a compact subspace of $\mathbf{R}_K$ containing K; this is a contradiction (see (a)). We conclude that there can not exist any path in $\mathbf{R}_K$ from 0 to 1.

Ex. 27.5. I first repeat Thm 27.7 in order to emphasize the similarity between the two statements.

Theorem 1 (Thm 27.7)**.** *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space with no isolated points. Then X contains uncountably many points.*

Proof. Let $A = \{a_1, a_2, \ldots\}$ be a countable subset of X. We must find a point in X outside A.

We have $X \neq \{a_1\}$ for $\{a_1\}$ is not open. So the open set $X - \{a_1\}$ is nonempty. By regularity [Lemma 26.4, Lemma 31.1], we can find an open nonempty set U_1 such that

$$U_1 \subset \overline{U}_1 \subset X - \{a_1\} \subset X$$

We have $U_1 \neq \{a_2\}$ for $\{a_2\}$ is not open. So the open set $U_1 - \{a_2\}$ is nonempty. By regularity [Lemma 26.4, Lemma 31.1], we can find an open nonempty set U_2 such that

$$U_2 \subset \overline{U}_2 \subset U_1 - \{a_2\} \subset U_1$$

Continuing this way we find a descending sequence of nonempty open sets U_n such that

$$U_n \subset \overline{U}_n \subset U_{n-1} - \{a_n\} \subset U_{n-1}$$

for all n.

Because X is compact, the intersection $\bigcap U_n = \bigcap \overline{U}_n$ is nonempty [p. 170] and contained in $\bigcap (X - \{a_n\}) = X - \bigcup \{a_n\} = X - A$. □

Theorem 2 (Baire category theorem)**.** *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space and $\{A_n\}$ a sequence of closed subspaces. If $\operatorname{Int} A_n = \emptyset$ for all n, then $\operatorname{Int} \bigcup A_n = \emptyset$.*

Proof. (See Thm 48.2.) Let U_0 be any nonempty subspace of X. We must find a point in U_0 outside $\bigcup A_n$.

We have $U_0 \not\subset A_1$ for A_1 has no interior. So the open set $U_0 - A_1$ is nonempty. By regularity [Lemma 26.4, Lemma 31.1], we can find a nonempty open set U_1 such that

$$U_1 \subset \overline{U}_1 \subset U_0 - A_1 \subset U_0$$

We have $U_1 \not\subset A_2$ for A_2 has no interior. So the open set $U_1 - A_2$ is nonempty. By regularity [Lemma 26.4, Lemma 31.1], we can find a nonempty open set U_2 such that

$$U_2 \subset \overline{U}_2 \subset U_1 - A_2 \subset U_1$$

Continuing this way, we find a descending sequence of nonempty open sets U_n such that

$$U_n \subset \overline{U}_n \subset U_{n-1} - A_n \subset U_{n-1}$$

for all n.

Because X is compact, the intersection $\bigcap U_n = \bigcap \overline{U}_n$ is nonempty [p. 170] and contained in $U_0 \cap \bigcap (X - A_n) = U_0 - \bigcup A_n$.

□

Ex. 27.6 (The Cantor set).

(a). The set A_n is a union of 2^n disjoint closed intervals of length $1/3^n$. Let p and q be two points in C. Choose n so that $|p - q| > 1/3^n$. Then there is point r between them that is not in A_n, so not in C. As in [Example 4, p. 149], this shows that any subspace of C containing p and q has a separation.

(b). C is compact because [Thm 26.2] it is closed subspace of the compact space $[0, 1]$.

(c). C is constructed from any of the A_n by removing interior points only. Thus the boundary of A_n is contained in C for all n. Any interval of length $> 1/3^{n+1}$ around any point of A_n contains a boundary point of A_{n+1}, hence a point of C. Thus C has no isolated points.

(d). C is a nonempty compact Hausdorff space with no isolated points, so it contains uncountably many points [Thm 27.7].

References

Munkres §28

Ex. 28.1 (Morten Poulsen). Let d denote the uniform metric. Choose $c \in (0,1]$. Let $A = \{0,c\}^\omega \subset [0,1]^\omega$. Note that if a and b are distinct points in A then $d(a,b) = c$. For any $x \in X$ the open ball $B_d(x, c/3)$ has diameter less than or equal $2c/3$, hence $B_d(x, c/3)$ cannot contain more than one point of A. It follows that x is not a limit point of A.

Ex. 28.6 (Morten Poulsen).

Theorem 1. *Let (X,d) be a compact metric space. If $f : X \to X$ is an isometry then f is a homeomorphism.*

Proof. Clearly any isometry is continuous and injective. If f surjective then f^{-1} is also an isometry, hence it suffices to show that f is surjective.

Suppose $f(X) \subsetneq X$ and let $a \in X - f(X)$. Note that $f(X)$ is compact, since X compact, hence $f(X)$ closed, since X Hausdorff, i.e. $X - f(X)$ is open. Thus there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $a \in B_d(a,\varepsilon) \subset X - f(X)$.

Define a sequence (x_n) by

$$x_n = \begin{cases} a, & n = 1 \\ f(x_n), & n > 1. \end{cases}$$

If $n \neq m$ then $d(x_n, x_m) \geq \varepsilon$: Induction on $n \geq 1$. If $n = 1$ then clearly $d(a, x_m) \geq \varepsilon$, since $x_m \in f(X)$. Suppose $d(x_n, x_m) \geq \varepsilon$ for all $m \neq n$. If $m = 1$ then $d(x_{n+1}, x_1) = d(f(x_n), a) \geq \varepsilon$. If $m > 1$ then $d(x_{n+1}, x_m) = d(f(x_n), f(x_{m-1})) = d(x_n, x_{m-1}) \geq \varepsilon$.

For any $x \in X$ the open ball $B_d(x, \varepsilon/3)$ has diameter less than or equal to $2\varepsilon/3$, hence $B_d(x, \varepsilon/3)$ cannot contain more than one point of A. It follows that x is not a limit point of A. □

Munkres §29

Ex. 29.1. Closed intervals $[a,b]\cap\mathbf{Q}$ in $\mathbf{Q}$ are not compact for they are not even sequentially compact [Thm 28.2]. It follows that all compact subsets of $\mathbf{Q}$ have empty interior (are nowhere dense) so $\mathbf{Q}$ can not be locally compact.

To see that compact subsets of $\mathbf{Q}$ are nowhere dense we may argue as follows: If $C\subset\mathbf{Q}$ is compact and C has an interior point then there is a whole open interval $(a,b)\cap\mathbf{Q}\subset C$ and also $[a,b]\cap\mathbf{Q}\subset C$ for C is closed (as a compact subset of a Hausdorff space [Thm 26.3]). The closed subspace $[a,b]\cap\mathbf{Q}$ of C is compact [Thm 26.2]. This contradicts that no closed intervals of $\mathbf{Q}$ are compact.

Ex. 29.2.

(a). Assume that the product $\prod X_\alpha$ is locally compact. Projections are continuous and open [Ex 16.4], so X_α is locally compact for all α [Ex 29.3]. Furthermore, there are subspaces $U\subset C$ such that U is nonempty and open and C is compact. Since $\pi_\alpha(U)=X_\alpha$ for all but finitely many α, also $\pi_\alpha(C)=X_\alpha$ for all but finitely many α. But C is compact so also $\pi_\alpha(C)$ is compact.

(b). We have $\prod X_\alpha = X_1\times X_2$ where X_1 is a finite product of locally compact spaces and X_2 is a product of compact spaces. It is clear that finite products of locally compact spaces are locally compact for finite products of open sets are open and all products of compact spaces are compact by Tychonoff. So X_1 is locally compact. X_2 is compact, hence locally compact. Thus the product of X_1 and X_2 is locally compact.

Conclusion: $\prod X_\alpha$ is locally compact if and only if X_α is locally compact for all α and compact for all but finitely many α.

Example: $\mathbf{R}^\omega$ and $\mathbf{Z}_+^\omega$ are not locally compact.

Ex. 29.3. Local compactness is not preserved under continuous maps. For an example, let $S\subset\mathbf{R}^2$ be the graph of $\sin(1/x)$, $x\in(0,1]$. The space $\{(0,0)\}\cup S$ is not locally compact at $(0,0)$: Any neighborhood U of $(0,0)$ contains an infinite subset without limit points, the intersection of S and a horizontal straight line, so U can not [Thm 28.1] be contained in any compact subset of S. On the other hand, $\{(0,0)\}\cup S$ is the image of a continuous map defined on the locally compact Hausdorff space $\{-1\}\cup(0,1]$ [Thm 29.2].

Local compactness is clearly preserved under *open* continuous maps as open continuous maps preserve both compactness and openness.

Ex. 29.4 (Morten Poulsen). Let d denote the uniform metric. Suppose $[0,1]^\omega$ is locally compact at 0. Then $0\in U\subset C$, where U is open and C is compact. There exists $\varepsilon>0$ such that $B_d(0,\varepsilon)\subset U$. Note that $A=\{0,\varepsilon/3\}^\omega\subset B_d(0,\varepsilon)$, hence $A\subset C$. By theorem 28.2 A has a limit point in C, contradicting Ex. 28.1.

Ex. 29.5 (Morten Poulsen).

Lemma 1. *A homeomorphism between locally compact Hausdorff spaces extends to a homeomorphism between the one-point compactifications. In other words, homeomorphic locally compact Hausdorff spaces have homeomorphic one-point compactifications.*

Proof. Let $f: X_1\to X_2$ be a homeomorphism between locally compact Hausdorff spaces. Furthermore let $\omega X_1 = X_1\cup\{\omega_1\}$ and $\omega X_2 = X_2\cup\{\omega_2\}$ denote the one-point compactifications. Define $\widetilde{f}:\omega X_1\to\omega X_2$ by

$$\widetilde{f}(x)=\begin{cases} f(x), & x\in X_1\\ \omega_2, & x=\omega_1.\end{cases}$$

Note that $\widetilde{f}$ is bijective. Recall that for a locally compact Hausdorff space X the topology on the one-point compactification, ωX, is the collection

$$\{\,U\,|\,U\subset X \text{ open}\,\}\cup\{\,\omega X - C\,|C\subset X \text{ compact}\,\},$$

c.f. the proof of theorem 29.1.

If $U \subset X_2$ is open then $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(U) = f^{-1}(U)$ is open in ωX_1. If $C \subset X_2$ is compact then $\widetilde{f}^{-1}(\omega X_2 - C) = \widetilde{f}^{-1}(\omega X_2) - \widetilde{f}^{-1}(C) = \omega X_1 - f^{-1}(C)$ is open in ωX_1, since $f^{-1}(C) \subset X_1$ is compact. It follows that $\widetilde{f}$ is continuous, hence a homeomorphism, by theorem 26.6. □

Finally note that the converse statement does not hold: If $X_1 = [0, 1/2) \cup (1/2, 1]$ and $X_2 = [0, 1)$ then $\omega X_1 = [0, 1] = \omega X_2$. But X_1 and X_2 are not homeomorphic, since X_1 is not connected and X_2 is connected.

Ex. 29.6 (Morten Poulsen). Let S^n denote the unit sphere in $\mathbf{R}^{n+1}$. Let p denote the point $(0, \ldots, 0, 1) \in \mathbf{R}^{n+1}$.

Lemma 2. *The punctured sphere $S^n - p$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbf{R}^n$.*

Proof. Define $f : (S^n - p) \to \mathbf{R}^n$ by

$$f(x) = f(x_1, \ldots, x_{n+1}) = \frac{1}{1 - x_{n+1}}(x_1, \ldots, x_n).$$

The map f is also known as stereographic projection. It is straightforward to check that the map $g : \mathbf{R}^n \to (S^n - p)$ defined by

$$g(y) = g(y_1, \ldots, y_n) = (t(y)\, y_1, \ldots, t(y)\, y_n, 1 - t(y)),$$

where $t(y) = 2/(1 + \|y\|^2)$, is a right and left inverse for f. □

Theorem 3. *The one-point compactification of $\mathbf{R}^n$ is homeomorphic to S^n.*

Proof. By the preceding lemma $\mathbf{R}^n$ is homeomorphic to $S^n - p$. The one-point compactification of $S^n - p$ is clearly S^n. Now the result follows from Ex. 29.5. □

Ex. 29.7. Let X be any linearly ordered space with the least upper bound property. As $[a, b] = [a, b) \cup \{b\}$ is compact Hausdorff [Thm 27.1, Thm 17.11], the right half-open interval $[a, b)$ is locally compact Hausdorff and its Alexandroff compactification is $[a, b]$ [Thm 29.1]. Apply this to $S_\Omega = [1, \Omega) \subset \overline{S}_\Omega = [1, \Omega]$. (Apply also to $\mathbf{Z}_+ = [1, \omega) \subset \mathbf{Z}_+ \times \mathbf{Z}_+$ where $\omega = 2 \times 1$ for (an alternative answer to) [Ex 29.8])

Also X itself is locally compact Hausdorff [Thm 17.11] as all closed and bounded intervals in X are compact [Thm 27.1]. Is the one-point compactification of X a linearly ordered space?

Ex. 29.9. This follows from Ex 29.3 for the quotient map $G \to G/H$ is open [SupplEx 22.5.(c)].

Ex. 29.11. It is not always true that the product of two quotient maps is a quotient map [Example 7, p. 143] but here is a case where it is true.

Lemma 4 (Whitehead Theorem)**.** [1, 3.3.17] *Let $p\colon X \to Y$ be a quotient map and Z a locally compact space. Then*

$$p \times 1\colon X \times Z \to Y \times Z$$

is a quotient map.

Proof. Let $A \subset X \times Z$. We must show: $(p \times 1)^{-1}(A)$ is open $\Rightarrow A$ is open. This means that for any point $(x, y) \in (p \times 1)^{-1}(A)$ we must find a saturated neighborhood U of x and a neighborhood V of y such that $U \times V \subset (p \times 1)^{-1}(A)$.

Since $(p \times 1)^{-1}(A)$ is open in the product topology there is a neighborhood U_1 of x and a neighborhood V of y such that $U_1 \times V \subset (p \times 1)^{-1}(A)$. Since Y is locally compact Hausdorff we may assume [Thm 29.2] that $\overline{V}$ is compact and $U_1 \times \overline{V} \subset (p \times 1)^{-1}(A)$. Note that also $p^{-1}(pU_1) \times \overline{V}$ is contained in $(p \times 1)^{-1}(A)$. The tube lemma [Lemma 26.8] says that each point of $p^{-1}(pU_1)$ has a neighborhood such that the product of this neighborhood with $\overline{V}$ is contained in the open set $(p \times 1)^{-1}(A)$. Let U_2 be the union of these neighborhoods. Then $p^{-1}(pU_1) \subset U_2$ and $U_2 \times \overline{V} \subset (p \times 1)^{-1}(A)$. Continuing inductively we find open sets $U_1 \subset U_2 \subset \cdots \subset U_i \subset U_{i+1} \subset \cdots$ such that $p^{-1}(pU_i) \subset U_{i+1}$ and $U_{i+1} \times \overline{V} \subset (p \times 1)^{-1}(A)$. The open set $U = \bigcup U_i$ is saturated because $U \subset p^{-1}(pU) = \bigcup p^{-1}(pU_i) \subset \bigcup U_{i+1} = U$. Thus also $U \times V$ is saturated and $U \times V \subset \bigcup U_i \times V \subset (p \times 1)^{-1}(A)$. □

Example: If $p\colon X \to Z$ is a quotient map, then also $p \times \mathrm{id}\colon X \times [0,1] \to Z \times [0,1]$ is a quotient map. This fact is important for homotopy theory.

Theorem 5. *Let $p\colon A \to B$ and $q\colon C \to D$ be quotient maps. If B and C are locally compact Hausdorff spaces then $p \times q\colon A \times C \to B \times D$ is a quotient map.*

Proof. The map $p \times q$ is the composition

$$A \times C \xrightarrow{p\times 1} B \times C \xrightarrow{1\times q} B \times D$$

of two quotient maps and therefore itself a quotient map [p. 141]. □

References

[1] Ryszard Engelking, *General topology*, second ed., Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, vol. 6, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989, Translated from the Polish by the author. MR 91c:54001

Munkres §30

Ex. 30.3 (Morten Poulsen). Let X be second-countable and let A be an uncountable subset of X. Suppose only countably many points of A are limit points of A and let $A_0 \subset A$ be the countable set of limit points.

For each $x \in A - A_0$ there exists a basis element U_x such that $x \in U_x$ and $U_x \cap A = \{x\}$. Hence if a and b are distinct points of $A - A_0$ then $U_a \neq U_b$, since $U_a \cap A = \{a\} \neq \{b\} = U_b \cap A$. It follows that there uncountably many basis elements, contradicting that X is second-countable.

Note that it also follows that the set of points of A that are not limit points of A are countable.

Ex. 30.4 (Morten Poulsen).

Theorem 1. *Every compact metrizable space is second-countable.*

Proof. Let X be a compact metrizable space, and let d be a metric on X that induces the topology on X.

For each $n \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ let $\mathcal{A}^n$ be an open covering of X with $1/n$-balls. By compactness of X there exists a finite subcovering $\mathcal{A}_n$.

Now $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_+} \mathcal{A}_n$ is countable, being a countable union of finite sets.

$\mathcal{B}$ is a basis: Let U be an open set in X and $x \in U$. By definition of the metric topology there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $B_d(x, \varepsilon) \subset U$. Choose $N \in \mathbf{Z}_+$ such that $2/N < \varepsilon$. Since $\mathcal{A}_N$ covers X there exists $B_d(y, 1/N)$ containing x. If $z \in B_d(y, 1/N)$ then

$$d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) \leq 1/N + 1/N = 2/N < \varepsilon,$$

i.e. $z \in B_d(x, \varepsilon)$, hence $B_d(y, 1/N) \subset B_d(x, \varepsilon) \subset U$. It follows that $\mathcal{B}$ is a basis. □

Ex. 30.5. Let X be a metrizable topological space.

Suppose that X has a countable dense subset A. The collection $\{B(a, r) \mid a \in A, r \in \mathbf{Q}_+\}$ of balls centered at points in A and with a rational radius is a countable basis for the topology: It suffices to show that for any $y \in B(x, \varepsilon)$ there are $a \in A$ and $r \in \mathbf{Q}_+$ such that $y \in B(a, r) \subset B(x, \varepsilon)$. Let r be a positive rational number such that $2r < \varepsilon - d(x, y)$ and let $a \in A \cap B(y, r)$. Then $y \in B(a, r)$, of course, and $B(a, r) \subset B(x, \varepsilon)$ for if $d(a, z) < r$ then $d(x, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, z) \leq d(x, y) + d(y, a) + d(a, z) < d(x, y) + 2r < \varepsilon$.

Suppose that X is Lindelöf. For each positive rational number r, let A_r be a countable subset of X such that $X = \bigcup_{a \in A_r} B(a, r)$. Then $A = \bigcup_{r \in \mathbf{Q}_+} A_r$ is a dense countable subset: Any open ball $B(x, \varepsilon)$ contains a point of A_r when $0 < r < \varepsilon$, $r \in \mathbf{Q}$.

We now have an extended version of Thm 30.3:

Theorem 2. *Let X be a topological space. Then*

$$X \text{ has a countable dense subset} \Longleftarrow X \text{ is 2nd countable} \Longrightarrow X \text{ is Lindelöf}$$
$$\Big\Downarrow$$
$$X \text{ is 1st countable}$$

If X is metrizable, the three conditions of the top line equivalent.

Ex. 30.6. $\mathbf{R}_\ell$ has a countable dense subset and is not 2nd countable. According to [Ex 30.5] such a space is not metrizable.

The ordered square I_o^2 is compact and not second countable. Any basis for the topology has uncountably many members because there are uncountably many disjoint open sets $(x \times 0, x \times 1)$, $x \in I$, and each of them contains a basis open set. (Alternatively, note that I_o^2 contains the uncountable discrete subspace $\{x \times \frac{1}{2} \mid x \in I\}$ so it can not be second countable by [Example 2 p 190].) According to [Ex 30.4] or [30.5(b)] a compact space with no countable basis is not metrizable.

Ex. 30.7. (Open ordinal space and closed ordinal space) Sets of the form (α, β), $-\infty \leq \alpha < \beta \leq +\infty$, form bases for the topologies on the *open ordinal space* $S_\Omega = [0, \Omega)$ and the *closed ordinal space* $\overline{S}_\Omega = [0, \Omega]$ [§14, Thm 16.4]. The sets $(\alpha, \beta] = (\alpha, \beta + 1) = [\alpha + 1, \beta]$ are closed and open. Let n denote the nth immediate successor of the first element, 0.

- $[0, \Omega)$ **is first countable:** $\{0\} = [0, 1)$ is open so clearly $[0, \Omega)$ is first countable at the point 0. For any other element, $\alpha > 0$, we can use the collection of neighborhoods of the form $(\beta, \alpha]$ for $\beta < \alpha$.
- $[0, \Omega)$ **does not have a countable dense subset:** The complement of any countable subset contains [Thm 10.3] an interval of the form (α, Ω) (which is nonempty, even uncountable [Lemma 10.2]).
- $[0, \Omega)$ **is not second countable:** If it were, there would be a countable dense subset [Thm 30.3].
- $[0, \Omega)$ **is not Lindelöf:** The open covering consisting of the sets $[0, \alpha)$, $\alpha < \Omega$, does not contain a countable subcovering.
- $[0, \Omega]$ **is not first countable at Ω:** This is a consequence of [Lemma 21.2] in that Ω is a limit point of $[0, \Omega)$ but not the limit point of any sequence in $[0, \Omega)$ for all such sequences are bounded [Example 3, p. 181].
- $[0, \Omega]$ **does not have a dense countable subset:** for the same reason as for $[0, \Omega)$.
- $[0, \Omega]$ **is not second countable:** It is not even first countable.
- $[0, \Omega]$ **is Lindelöf:** It is even compact [Thm 27.1].

$S_\Omega = [0, \Omega)$ is limit point compact but not compact [Example 2, p. 179] so it can not be metrizable [Thm 28.2]. S_Ω is first countable and limit point compact so it is also sequentially compact [Thm 28.2].

$\overline{S}_\Omega = [0, \Omega]$ is not metrizable since it is not first countable.

Ex. 30.9. A space X is Lindelöf if and only if any collection of closed subsets of X with empty intersection contains a countable subcollection with empty intersection. Since closed subsets of closed subsets are closed, it follows immediately that closed subspaces of Lindelöf spaces are Lindelöf.

The anti-diagonal $L \subset \mathbf{R}_\ell \times \mathbf{R}_\ell$ is a closed discrete uncountable subspace [Example 4 p 193]. Thus the closed subset L does not have a countable dense subset even though $\mathbf{R}_\ell \times \mathbf{R}_\ell$ has a countable dense subset.

Ex. 30.12. Let $f\colon X \to Y$ be an open continuous map.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a neighborhood basis at the point $x \in X$. Let $f(\mathcal{B})$ be the collection of images $f(B) \subset f(X)$ of members B of the collection $\mathcal{B}$. The sets in $f(\mathcal{B})$ are open in Y, and hence also in $f(X)$, since f is an open map. Let $f(x)$ be a point in $f(X)$. Any neighborhood of $f(x)$ has the form $V \cap f(X)$ for some neighborhood $V \subset Y$ of $f(x)$. Since $p^{-1}(V)$ is a neighborhood of x there is a set B in the collection $\mathcal{B}$ such that $x \in B \subset f^{-1}(V)$. Then $x \in f(B) \subset V \cap f(X)$. This shows that $f(\mathcal{B})$ is a neighborhood basis at $f(x) \in f(X)$.

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a basis for the topology on X. Let $f(\mathcal{B})$ be the collection of images $f(B) \subset f(X)$ of members B of the collection $\mathcal{B}$. The sets in $f(\mathcal{B})$ are open in Y, and hence also in $f(X)$, since f is an open map. Since $\mathcal{B}$ ia a covering of X, $f(\mathcal{B})$ is a covering of $f(X)$. Suppose that $f(x) \in f(B_1) \cap f(B_2)$ where $x \in X$ and B_1, B_2 are basis sets. Choose a basis set B_3 such that $x \in B_3 \subset f^{-1}(f(B_1) \cap f(B_2))$. Then $f(x) \in f(B_3) \subset f(B_1) \cap f(B_2)$. This shows that $f(\mathcal{B})$ is a basis for a topology $\mathcal{T}_{f(\mathcal{B})}$ on $f(X)$. This topology is coarser than the topology on $f(X)$ since the basis elements are open in $f(X)$. Conversely, let $f(x) \in V \cap f(X)$ where V is open in Y. Choose a basis element B such that $x \in B \subset f^{-1}(V)$. Then $f(x) \in f(B) \subset V \cap f(X)$. This shows that all open subsets of $f(X)$ are in $\mathcal{T}_{f(\mathcal{B})}$. We conclude that $f(\mathcal{B})$ is a basis for the topology on $f(X)$.

We conclude that continuous open maps preserve 1st and 2nd countability.

Ex. 30.13. Let D be a countable dense subset and $\mathcal{U}$ a collection of open disjoint subsets. Pick a member of D inside each of the open open sets in $\mathcal{U}$. This gives an injective map $\mathcal{U} \to D$. Since D is countable also $\mathcal{U}$ is countable.

Ex. 30.16. For each natural number $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, let D_k be the set of all finite sequences

$$(I_1, \ldots, I_k, x_1, \ldots, x_k)$$

where $I_1, \ldots, I_k \subset I$ are disjoint closed subintervals of I with rational endpoints and $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in \mathbf{Q}$ are rational numbers. Since D_k is a subset of a countable set,

$$D_k \hookrightarrow (\overbrace{\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}) \times \cdots \times (\mathbf{Q} \times \mathbf{Q}}^{k}) \times \overbrace{\mathbf{Q} \times \cdots \times \mathbf{Q}}^{k} = \mathbf{Q}^{3k},$$

D_k itself is countable [Cor 7.3]. Put $D = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbf{Z}_+} D_k$. As a countable union of countable sets, D is countable [Thm 7.5].

For each element $(I_1, \ldots, I_k, x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in D_k$, let $x(I_1, \ldots, I_k, x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \mathbf{R}^I$ be the element given by

$$\pi_t x(I_1, \ldots, I_k, x_1, \ldots, x_k) = \begin{cases} x_j & t \in I_j \text{ for some } j \in \{1, \ldots, k\} \\ 0 & t \notin I_1 \cup \cdots \cup I_k \end{cases}$$


where $\pi_t \colon \mathbf{R}^I \to \mathbf{R}$, $t \in I$, is the projection map. This defines a map $x \colon D \to \mathbf{R}^I$.

(a). The basis open sets in $\mathbf{R}^I$ are finite intersections $\bigcap_{j=1}^k \pi_{i_j}^{-1}(U_{i_j})$ where $i_1, \ldots, i_k$ are k distinct points in I and $U_{i_1}, \ldots, U_{i_k}$ are k open subsets of $\mathbf{R}$. Choose disjoint closed subintervals I_j such that $i_j \in I_j$ and choose $x_j \in U_{i_j} \cap \mathbf{Q}$, $j = 1, \ldots, k$. Then $x(I_1, \ldots, I_k, x_1, \ldots, x_k) \in \bigcap_{j=1}^k \pi_{i_j}^{-1}(U_{i_j})$ for $\pi_{i_j} x(I_1, \ldots, I_k, x_1, \ldots, x_k) = x_j \in U_{i_j}$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, k$. This shows that any (basis) open set contains an element of $x(D)$, ie that the countable set $x(D)$ is dense in $\mathbf{R}^I$.

(b). Let D be a dense subset of $\mathbf{R}^J$ for some set J. Let $f \colon J \to \mathcal{P}(D)$ be the map from the index set J to the power set $\mathcal{P}(D)$ of D given by $f(j) = D \cap \pi_j^{-1}(2003, 2004)$. Let j and k be two distinct points of J. Then $f(j) \neq f(k)$ for

$$\begin{aligned} f(j) - f(k) &= \big(\pi_j^{-1}(2003, 2004) - \pi_k^{-1}(2003, 2004)\big) \cap D \\ &\supset \big(\pi_j^{-1}(2003, 2004) \cap \pi_k^{-1}(2002, 2003)\big) \cap D \neq \emptyset \end{aligned}$$

since D is dense. This shows that f is injective. Thus card $J \leq$ card $\mathcal{P}(D)$.



References

Munkres §31

Ex. 31.1 (Morten Poulsen). Let a and b be distinct points of X. Note that X is Hausdorff, since X is regular. Thus there exists disjoint open sets A and B such that $a \in A$ and $b \in B$. By lemma 31.1(a) there exists open sets U and V such that

$$a \in U \subset \overline{U} \subset A \text{ and } b \in V \subset \overline{V} \subset B.$$

Clearly $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$.

Ex. 31.2 (Morten Poulsen). Let A and B be disjoint closed subsets of X. Since X normal there exists disjoint open sets U_0 and U_1 such that $A \subset U_0$ and $B \subset U_1$. By lemma 31.1(b) there exists open sets V_0 and V_1 such that

$$A \subset V_0 \subset \overline{V_0} \subset U_0 \text{ and } B \subset V_1 \subset \overline{V_1} \subset U_1$$

Clearly $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$.

Ex. 31.3 (Morten Poulsen).

Theorem 1. *Every order topology is regular.*

Proof. Let X be an ordered set. Let $x \in X$ and let U be a neighborhood of x, may assume $U = (a, b)$, $-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty$. Set $A = (a, x)$ and $B = (x, b)$. Using the criterion for regularity in lemma 31.1(b) there are four cases:

(1) If $u \in A$ and $v \in B$ then $x \in (u, v) \subset \overline{(u, v)} \subset [u, v] \subset (a, b)$.
(2) If $A = B = \emptyset$ then $(a, b) = \{x\}$ is open and closed, since X Hausdorff, c.f. Ex. 17.10.
(3) If $A = \emptyset$ and $v \in B$ then $x \in (a, v) \subset= [x, v) \subset \overline{[x, v)} \subset [x, v] \subset (a, b)$.
(4) If $u \in A$ and $B = \emptyset$ then $x \in (u, b) \subset (u, x] \subset \overline{(u, x]} \subset [u, x] \subset (a, b)$.

Thus X is regular. □

Ex. 31.5. The diagonal $\Delta \subset Y \times Y$ is closed as Y is Hausdorff [Ex 17.13]. The map $(f, g) : X \to Y \times Y$ is continuous [Thm 18.4, Thm 19.6] so

$$\{x \in X \mid f(x) = g(x)\} = (f, g)^{-1}(\Delta)$$

is closed.

Ex. 31.6. Let $p\colon X \to Y$ be closed continuous surjective map. Then X normal $\Rightarrow Y$ normal.

For this exercise and the next we shall use the following lemma from [Ex 26.12].

Lemma 2. *Let $p\colon X \to Y$ be a closed map.*

(1) *If $p^{-1}(y) \subset U$ where U is an open subspace of X, then $p^{-1}(W) \subset U$ for some neighborhood $W \subset Y$ of y.*
(2) *If $p^{-1}(B) \subset U$ for some subspace B of Y and some open subspace U of X, then $p^{-1}(W) \subset U$ for some neighborhood $W \subset Y$ of B.*

Proof. Note that

$$p^{-1}(W) \subset U \Leftrightarrow \big[p(x) \in W \Rightarrow x \in U\big] \Leftrightarrow \big[x \notin U \Rightarrow p(x) \notin W\big] \Leftrightarrow p(X - U) \subset Y - W$$
$$\Leftrightarrow p(X - U) \cap W = \emptyset$$

(1) The point y does not belong to the closed set $p(X - U)$. Therefore a whole neighborhood $W \subset Y$ of y is disjoint from $p(X - U)$, i.e. $p^{-1}(W) \subset U$.
(2) Each point $y \in B$ has a neighborhood W_y such that $p^{-1}(W_y) \subset U$. The union $W = \bigcup W_y$ is then a neighborhood of B with $p^{-1}(W) \subset U$. □

Since points are closed in X and p is closed, all points in $p(X)$ are closed. All fibres $p^{-1}(y) \subset X$ are therefore also closed. Let y_1 and y_2 be two distinct points in Y. Since X is normal we can separate the disjoint closed sets $p^{-1}(y_1)$ and $p^{-1}(y_1)$ by disjoint neighborhoods U_1 and U_2. Using Lemma 2.(1), choose neighborhoods W_1 of y_1 and W_2 of y_2 such that $p^{-1}(W_1) \subset U_1$ and $p^{-1}(W_2) \subset U_2$. Then W_1 and W_2 are disjoint. Thus Y is Hausdorff.

Essentially the same argument, but now using Lemma 2.(2), shows that we can separate disjoint closed sets in Y by disjoint open sets. Thus Y is normal.

Alternatively, see [Lemma 73.3].

Example: If X is normal and $A \subset X$ is closed, then the quotient space X/A is normal.

Ex. 31.7. Let $p\colon X \to Y$ be closed continuous surjective map such that $p^{-1}(y)$ is compact for each $y \in Y$ (a perfect map).

(a). X Hausdorff $\Rightarrow$ Y Hausdorff.
Let y_1 and y_2 be two distinct points in Y. By an upgraded version [Ex 26.5] of [Lemma 26.4] we can separate the two disjoint compact subspaces $p^{-1}(y_1)$ and $p^{-1}(y_2)$ by disjoint open subspaces $U_1 \supset p^{-1}(y_1)$ and $U_2 \supset p^{-1}(y_2)$ of the Hausdorff space X. Choose (Lemma 2) open sets $W_1 \ni y_1$ and $W_2 \ni y_2$ such that $p^{-1}(W_1) \subset U_1$ and $p^{-1}(W_2) \subset U_2$. Then W_1 and W_2 are disjoint. This shows that Y is Hausdorff as well.

(b). X regular $\Rightarrow$ Y regular.
Y is Hausdorff by (a). Let $C \subset Y$ be a closed subspace and $y \in Y$ a point outside C. It is enough to separate the compact fibre $p^{-1}(y) \subset X$ and the closed set $p^{-1}(C) \subset X$ by disjoint open set. (Lemma 2 will provide open sets in Y separating y and C.) Each $x \in p^{-1}(y)$ can be separated by disjoint open sets from $p^{-1}(C)$ since X is regular. Using compactness of $p^{-1}(y)$ we obtain (as in the proof [Thm 26.3]) disjoint open sets $U \supset p^{-1}(y)$ and $V \supset p^{-1}(C)$ as required.

(c). X locally compact $\Rightarrow$ Y locally compact [1, 3.7.21].
Using compactness of $p^{-1}(y)$ and local compactness of X we construct an open subspace $U \subset X$ and a compact subspace $C \subset X$ such that $p^{-1}(y) \subset U \subset C$. In the process we need to know that a finite union of compact subspaces is compact [Ex 26.3]. By Lemma 2, there is an open set $W \ni y$ such that $p^{-1}(y) \subset p^{-1}(W) \subset U \subset C$. Then $y \in W \subset p(C)$ where $p(C)$ is compact [Thm 26.5]. Thus Y is locally compact.

(d). X 2nd countable $\Rightarrow$ Y 2nd countable.
Let $\{B_j\}_{j\in\mathbf{Z}_+}$ be countable basis for X. For each finite subset $J \subset \mathbf{Z}_+$, let $U_J \subset X$ be the union of all open sets of the form $p^{-1}(W)$ with open $W \subset Y$ and $p^{-1}(W) \subset \bigcup_{j\in J} B_j$. There are countably many open sets U_J. The image $p(U_J)$ is a union of open sets in Y, hence open. Let now $V \subset Y$ be any open subspace. The inverse image $p^{-1}(V) = \bigcup_{y\in V} p^{-1}(y)$ is a union of fibres. Since each fibre $p^{-1}(y)$ is compact, it can be covered by a finite union $\bigcup_{j\in J(y)} B_j$ of basis sets contained in $p^{-1}(V)$. By Lemma 2, there is an open set $W \subset Y$ such that $p^{-1}(y) \subset p^{-1}(W) \subset \bigcup_{j\in J(y)} B_j$. Taking the union of all these open sets W, we get $p^{-1}(y) \subset U_{J(y)} \subset \bigcup_{j\in J(y)} B_j \subset p^{-1}(V)$. We now have $p^{-1}(V) = \bigcup_{y\in V} U_{J(y)}$ so that $V = pp^{-1}(V) = \bigcup_{y\in V} p(U_{J(y)})$ is a union of sets from the countable collection $\{p(U_J)\}$ of open sets. Thus Y is 2nd countable.

Example: If Y is compact, then the projection map $\pi_2\colon X \times Y \to Y$ is perfect. (Show that π_2 is closed!)

Ex. 31.8. It is enough to show that $p\colon X \to G\backslash X$ is a perfect map [Ex 31.6, Ex 31.7]. We show that

(1) The saturation GA of any closed subspace $A \subset X$ is closed. (The map p is closed.)
(2) The orbit Gx of any point $x \in X$ is compact. (The fibres $p^{-1}(Gx) = Gx$ are compact.)

(1) Let $y \in X$ be any point outside $GA = \bigcup_{g\in G} gA$. For any $g \in G$, $g^{-1}y$ is outside the closed set $A \subset X$. By continuity of the action $G \times X \to X$,

$$U_g^{-1} V_g \subset X - A$$

for open sets $G \supset U_g \ni g$ and $X \subset V_g \ni y$. The compact space G can be covered by finitely many of the open sets U_g, say $G = U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_n$. Let $V = V_1 \cap \cdots \cap V_n$ be the intersection of the corresponding neighborhoods of y. Then

$$G^{-1}V = \bigcup_i U_i^{-1}V \subset \bigcup_i U_i^{-1}V_i \subset X - A$$

so $y \in V \subset G(X - A) = X - GA$.
(2) The orbit Gx of a point $x \in X$ is compact because [Thm 26.5] it is the image of the compact space G under the continuous map $G \to X : g \to gx$.

References

[1] Ryszard Engelking, *General topology*, second ed., Sigma Series in Pure Mathematics, vol. 6, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989, Translated from the Polish by the author. MR **91c:**54001

Munkres §32

Ex. 32.1. Let Y be a closed subspace of the normal space X. Then Y is Hausdorff [Thm 17.11]. Let A and B be disjoint closed subspaces of Y. Since A and B are closed also in X, they can be separated in X by disjoint open sets U and V. Then $Y \cap U$ and $V \cap Y$ are open sets in Y separating A and B.

Ex. 32.3. Look at [Thm 29.2] and [Lemma 31.1]. By [Ex 33.7], locally compact Hausdorff spaces are even completely regular.

Ex. 32.4. Let A and B be disjoint closed subsets of a regular Lindelöf space. We proceed as in the proof of [Thm 32.1]. Each point $a \in A$ has an open neighborhood U_a with closure $\overline{U}_a$ disjoint from B. Applying the Lindelöf property to the open covering $\{U_a\}_{a\in A} \cup \{X - A\}$ we get a countable open covering $\{U_i\}_{i\in \mathbf{Z}_+}$ of A such that the closure of each U_i is disjoint from B. Similarly, there is a countable open covering $\{V_i\}_{i\in \mathbf{Z}_+}$ of B such that the closure of each V_i is disjoint from A. Now the open set $\bigcup U_i$ contains A and $\bigcup V_i$ contains B but these two sets are not necessarily disjoint. If we put $U_1' = U_1 - \overline{V_1}$, $U_2' = U_2 - \overline{V_1} - \overline{V_2}, \ldots$, $U_i' = U_i - \overline{V_1} - \cdots - \overline{V_i}, \ldots$ we subtract no points from A so that the open sets $\{U_i'\}$ still form an open covering of A. Similarly, the open sets $\{V_i'\}$, where $V_i' = V_i - \overline{U_1} - \cdots - \overline{U_i}$, cover B. Moreover, the open sets $\bigcup U_i'$ and $\bigcup V_i'$ are disjoint for U_i' is disjoint from $V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_i$ and V_i' is disjoint from $U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_i$.

Ex. 32.5. $\mathbf{R}^\omega$ (in product topology) is metrizable [Thm 20.5], in particular normal [Thm 32.2]. $\mathbf{R}^\omega$ in the uniform topology is, by its very definition [Definition p. 124], metrizable, hence normal.

Ex. 32.6. Let X be completely normal and let A and B be separated subspaces of X; this means that $A \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset = \overline{A} \cap B$. Note that A and B are contained in the open subspace $X - (\overline{A} \cap \overline{B}) = (X - \overline{A}) \cup (X - \overline{B})$ where their closures are disjoint. (The closure of A in $X - (\overline{A} \cap \overline{B})$ is $\overline{A} - \overline{B}$ [Thm 17.4].) The subspace $X - (\overline{A} \cap \overline{B})$ is normal so it contains disjoint open subsets $U \supset A$ and $V \supset B$. Since U and V are open in an open subspace, they are open [Lemma 16.2].

Conversely, suppose that X satisfies the condition (and is a T_1-space). Let Y be any subspace of X and A and B two disjoint closed subspaces of Y. Since $\overline{A} \cap Y$ and $\overline{B} \cap Y$ are disjoint [Thm 17.4], $\overline{A} \cap B = \overline{A} \cap (Y \cap B) = (\overline{A} \cap Y) \cap (B \cap Y) = \emptyset$, and, similarly, $A \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset$. By assumption, A and B can then be separated by disjoint open sets. If we also assume that X is T_1 then it follows that Y is normal.

References

Munkres §33

Ex. 33.1 (Morten Poulsen). Let $r \in [0,1]$. Recall from the proof of the Urysohn lemma that if $p < q$ then $\overline{U}_p \subset U_q$. Furthermore, recall that $U_q = \emptyset$ if $q < 0$ and $U_p = X$ if $p > 1$.

Claim 1. $f^{-1}(\{r\}) = \bigcap_{p>r} U_p - \bigcup_{q<r} U_q$, $p, q \in \mathbf{Q}$.

Proof. By the construction of $f\colon X \to [0,1]$,

$$\bigcap_{p>0} U_p - \bigcup_{q<0} U_q = \bigcap_{p>0} U_p = f^{-1}(\{0\})$$

and

$$\bigcap_{p>1} U_p - \bigcup_{q<1} U_q = X - \bigcup_{q<1} U_q = f^{-1}(\{1\}).$$

Now assume $r \in (0,1)$.

"$\subset$": Let $x \in f^{-1}(\{r\})$, i.e. $f(x) = r = \inf\{\, p \,|\, x \in U_p \,\}$. Note that $x \notin \bigcup_{q<r} U_q$, since $f(x) = r$. Suppose there exists $t > r$, $t \in \mathbf{Q}$, such that $x \notin U_t$. Since $f(x) = r$, there exists $s \in \mathbf{Q}$ such that $r \leq s < t$ and $x \in U_s$. Now $x \in U_s \subset \overline{U}_s \subset U_t$, contradiction. It follows that $x \in \bigcap_{p>r} U_p - \bigcup_{q<r} U_q$.

"$\supset$": Let $x \in \bigcap_{p>r} U_p - \bigcup_{q<r} U_q$. Note that $f(x) \leq r$, since $x \in \bigcap_{p>r} U_p$. Suppose $f(x) < r$, i.e. there exists $t < r$ such that $x \in U_t \subset \bigcup_{q<r} U_q$, contradiction. It follows that $x \in f^{-1}(\{r\})$. □

Ex. 33.4 (Morten Poulsen).

Theorem 2. *Let X be normal. There exists a continuous function $f : X \to [0,1]$ such that $f(x) = 0$ for $x \in A$, and $f(x) > 0$ for $x \notin A$, if and only if A is a closed G_δ set in X.*

Proof. Suppose $A = f^{-1}(\{0\})$. Since

$$A = f^{-1}(\{0\}) = f^{-1}(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_+} [0, 1/n)) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_+} f^{-1}([0, 1/n))$$

it follows that A is a closed G_δ set.

Conversely suppose A is a closed G_δ set, i.e. $A = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_+} U_n$, U_n open. Then $X - U_n$ and A are closed and disjoint for all n. By Urysohn's lemma there exists a continuous function $f_n : X \to [0,1]$, such that $f_n(A) = \{0\}$ and $f_n(X - U_n) = \{1\}$.

Now define $f : X \to [0,1]$ by

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} f_i(x).$$

Clearly f is well-defined. Furthermore f is continuous, by theorem 21.6, since the sequence of continuous functions $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2^i} f_i(x)\right)_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_+}$ converges uniformly to f, since

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} f_i(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2^i} f_i(x) \right| = \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} f_i(x) \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^i} \to 0$$

for $n \to \infty$.

Clearly $f(x) = 0$ for $x \in A$. Furthermore note that if $x \notin A$ then $x \in X - U_n$ for some n, hence $f(x) \geq \frac{1}{2^n} f_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^n} > 0$. □

Ex. 33.5 (Morten Poulsen).

Theorem 3 (Strong form of the Urysohn lemma)**.** *Let X be a normal space. There is a continuous function $f : X \to [0,1]$ such that $f(x) = 0$ for $x \in A$, and $f(x) = 1$ for $x \in B$, and $0 < f(x) < 1$ otherwise, if and only if A and B are disjoint closed G_δ sets in X.*

Proof. Suppose $f : X \to [0,1]$ is a continuous function. Then clearly $A = f^{-1}(\{0\})$ and $B = f^{-1}(\{1\})$ are disjoint. Since

$$A = f^{-1}(\{0\}) = f^{-1}(\bigcap_{n\in\mathbf{Z}_+} [0,1/n)) = \bigcap_{n\in\mathbf{Z}_+} f^{-1}([0,1/n))$$

and

$$B = f^{-1}(\{1\}) = f^{-1}(\bigcap_{n\in\mathbf{Z}_+} (1-1/n,1]) = \bigcap_{n\in\mathbf{Z}_+} f^{-1}((1-1/n,1])$$

it follows that A and B are disjoint closed G_δ sets in X.

Conversely suppose A and B are disjoint closed G_δ sets in X. By ex. 33.4 there exists continuous functions $f_A : X \to [0,1]$ and $f_B : X \to [0,1]$, such that $f_A^{-1}(\{0\}) = A$ and $f_B^{-1}(\{0\}) = B$. Now the function $f : X \to [0,1]$ defined by

$$f(x) = \frac{f_A(x)}{f_A(x) + f_B(x)}$$

is well-defined and clearly continuous. Furthermore $f^{-1}(\{0\}) = A$ and $f^{-1}(\{1\}) = B$, since

$$f(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow f_A(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in A$$

and

$$f(x) = 1 \Leftrightarrow f_A(x) = f_A(x) + f_B(x) \Leftrightarrow f_B(x) = 0 \Leftrightarrow x \in B.$$

□

Ex. 33.7. For any topological space X we have the following implications:

X is locally compact Hausdorff

$\overset{\text{Cor } 29.4}{\Rightarrow}$ X is an open subspace of a compact Hausdorff space

$\overset{\text{Thm } 32.2}{\Rightarrow}$ X is a subspace of a normal space

$\overset{\text{Thm } 33.1}{\Rightarrow}$ X is a subspace of a completely regular space

$\overset{\text{Thm } 33.2}{\Rightarrow}$ X is completely regular

Ex. 33.8. Using complete regularity of X and compactness of A, we see that there is a continuous real-valaued function $g\colon X \to [0,1]$ such that $g(a) < \frac{1}{2}$ for all $a \in A$ and $g(B) = \{1\}$. (There are finitely many continuous functions $g_1, \ldots, g_k\colon X \to [0,1]$ such that $A \subset \bigcup\{g_i < \frac{1}{2}\}$ and $g_i(B) = 1$ for all i. Put $g = \frac{1}{k}\sum g_i$.) The continuous [Ex 18.8] function $f = 2\max\{0, g - \frac{1}{2}\}$ maps X into the unit interval, $g(A) = \{0\}$, and $g(B) = \{1\}$.

References

Munkres §34

Ex. 34.1. We are looking for a non-regular Hausdorff space. By Example 1 p. 197, $\mathbf{R}_K$ [p. 82] is such a space. Indeed, $\mathbf{R}_K$ is Hausdorff for the topology is finer than the standard topology [Lemma 13.4]. $\mathbf{R}_K$ is 2nd countable for the sets (a, b) and $(a, b) - K$, where the intervals have rational end-points, constitute a countable basis. $\mathbf{R}_K$ is not metrizable for it is not even regular [Example 1, p. 197].
Conclusion: The regularity axiom can not be replaced by the Hausdorff axiom in the Urysohn metrization theorem [Thm 34.1].

Ex. 34.2. We are looking for 1st but not 2nd countable space. By Example 3 p. 192, $\mathbf{R}_\ell$ [p. 82] is such a space. Indeed, the Sorgenfrey right half-open interval topology $\mathbf{R}_\ell$ [p. 82] is completely normal [Ex 32.4], 1st countable, Lindelöf, has a countable dense subset [Example 3, p. 192], but is not metrizable [Ex 30.6].

Ex. 34.3. We characterize the metrizable spaces among the compact Hausdorff spaces.

Theorem 1. *Let X be a compact Hausdorff space. Then*

$$X \text{ is metrizable} \Leftrightarrow X \text{ is 2nd countable}$$

Proof. $\Rightarrow$: Every compact metrizable space is 2nd countable [Ex 30.4].
$\Leftarrow$: Every compact Hausdorff space is normal [Thm 32.3]. Every 2nd countable normal space is metrizable by the Urysohn metrization theorem [Thm 34.1]. □

We may also characterize the metrizable spaces among 2nd countable spaces.

Theorem 2. *Let X be a 2nd countable topological space. Then*

$$X \text{ is metrizable} \overset{\text{Thm 34.1, 32.2}}{\Leftrightarrow} X \text{ is (completely) normal} \overset{\text{Thm 32.1}}{\Leftrightarrow} X \text{ is regular}$$

Ex. 34.4. Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space. Then

$$X \text{ is metrizable} \Leftarrow X \text{ is 2nd countable}$$

$\not\Rightarrow$: Any discrete uncountable space is metrizable and not 2nd countable.
$\Leftarrow$: Every locally compact Hausdorff space is regular [Ex 32.3] (even completely regular [Ex 33.7]). Every 2nd countable regular space is metrizable by the Urysohn metrization theorem [Thm 34.1].

Ex. 34.5.

Theorem 3. *Let X be a locally compact Hausdorff space and X^+ its one-point-compactification. Then*

$$X^+ \text{ is metrizable} \Leftrightarrow X \text{ is 2nd countable}$$

Proof. $\Rightarrow$: : Every compact metrizable space is 2nd countable [Ex 30.4]. Every subspace of a 2nd countable space is 2nd countable [Thm 30.2].
$\Leftarrow$: Suppose that X has the countable basis $\mathcal{B}$. It suffices to show that also X^+ has a countable basis [Ex 34.3]. Any open subset of X is a union of elements from $\mathcal{B}$. The remaining open sets in X^+ are neighborhoods of ∞. Any neighborhood of ∞ is of the form $X^+ - C$ where C is a compact subspace of X. For each point $x \in C$ there is a basis neighborhood $U_x \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $\overline{U}$ is compact [Thm 29.3]. By compactness, C is covered by finitely many basis open sets $C \subset U_1 \cup \cdots \cup U_k$. Now

$$\infty \in X^+ - (\overline{U}_1 \cup \cdots \overline{U}_k) \subset X^+ - C$$

where $X^+ - (\overline{U}_1 \cup \cdots \overline{U}_k)$ is open in X^+ since $\overline{U}_1 \cup \cdots \overline{U}_k$ is compact in X [Ex 26.3]. This shows that if we supplement $\mathcal{B}$ with all sets of the form $X^+ - (\overline{U}_1 \cup \cdots \overline{U}_k)$, $k \in \mathbf{Z}_+$, $U_i \in \mathcal{B}$, and call the union $\mathcal{B}^+$, then $\mathcal{B}^+$ is a basis for the topology on X^+. Since there are only countable many finite subsets of $\mathcal{B}$ [Ex 7.5.(j)], the enlarged basis $\mathcal{B}^+$ is still countable [Thm 7.5]. □

References

http://dongphd.googlepages.com